arXiv:1610.00020v1 [gr-qc] 30 Sep 2016

Bianchi-I cosmology from causal thermodynamics

Eduardo Bittencourt, Leandro G. Gomes, Renato Klippert

Federal University of Itajubá, Av. BPS, 1303, Itajubá-MG, 37500-903, Brazil

E-mail: bittencourt@unifei.edu.br, lggomes@unifei.edu.br, klippert@unifei.edu.br

PACS numbers: 04.60.-q, 98.80.-k, 05.70.Ln, 47.10.Fg

Abstract. We investigate diagonal Bianchi-I spacetimes in the presence of viscous fluids by using the shear and the anisotropic pressure components as the basic variables, where the viscosity is driven by the (second-order) causal thermodynamics. A few exact solutions are presented, among which we mention the anisotropic versions of de Sitter/anti-de Sitter geometries as well as an asymptotically isotropic spacetime presenting an effective constant cosmic acceleration without any cosmological constant. The qualitative analysis of the solutions for barotropic fluids with linear equations of state suggests that the behaviour is quite general.

1. Introduction

Bianchi's works on the classification of all admissible Lie algebras upon a 3-dimensional homogeneous manifold [1] have represented an important step forward in the comprehension of the relationship between geometry and algebra. Few decades later, this interplay shed light into the mathematical features of the four-dimensional spacetime with the development of Einstein's theory of gravity. Since the Belinskii-Khalatnikov-Lifshitz (BKL) conjecture [2, 3] on the general behaviour of space-times near the cosmological singularity, the Bianchi models have played an important role in physics, particularly in the early cosmology. It was shown that when one goes back in time towards the initial cosmological singularity, the curvature terms dominate upon the matter ones and a general metric in this regime is a sort of a generalization of Kasner solutions which, in its turn, are special cases of Bianchi type-I spaces.

Thenceforth, the behaviour of Bianchi type-I cosmological models has been systematically studied for a large class of fluids, assuming non-linear equations of state [4], in the presence of magnetic fields [5], scalar fields [6], nonlinear Stokesian fluids [7] and achieving generic qualitative results [8, 9]. However, when dissipative terms are taken into account, algebraic relations between the energy-momentum tensor and the geometry are usually considered. This assumption leads to a simplified system of equations where the qualitative behaviour of the solutions can be comprehensively understood [9]. On the other hand, by applying the laws of classical thermodynamics, the dissipative processes do not evolve according to hyperbolic equations and, therefore, they are not relativistic and may violate causality.

With this in mind, we shall investigate in this paper the role played by the causal thermodynamics in the description of the dissipative terms of the energy momentum tensor of the cosmic fluid in a Bianchi-I scenario, based on the Israel and Stewart [10, 11, 12] formulation of the relativistic thermodynamics of irreversible processes, which provides a finite speed of propagation for the viscosity and the heat flow. A somehow truncated version of such thermodynamic theory has already been applied to study the Bianchi type-I cosmologies [13, 14, 15, 16, 17], while its exact formulation in such context was still missing (up to our knowledge).

The paper is summarized as follows. In section 2, we present a special set of variables to describe the Einstein equations for a diagonal Bianchi type-I metric in the presence of viscous fluids. These variables have clear mathematical and physical interpretations in terms of standard deviations and kinematic quantities. Section 3 provides some analytic solutions with interesting cosmological implications, particularly, for late times. Since the resulting equations are generically non-integrable, in section 4 we apply some basic tools of the qualitative theory of differential equations to describe the topological behaviour of the solutions close to the fixed points, including the asymptotic ones, by assuming barotropic fluids as its gravitational sources. For the sake of comparison with other references on the subject, the notation adopted here agrees with the one used in [18]. We also use geometric units $c = \kappa = 1$, unless otherwise

stated, where c is the light speed in empty space and κ is the Einstein gravitational constant.

2. Diagonal Bianchi-I with viscous fluids

The diagonal Bianchi type-I spacetime is such that the hypersurfaces at constant time describe Euclidean spaces. Its infinitesimal line element is commonly expressed in Cartesian coordinates as

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = -\mathrm{d}t^2 + [l_1(t)]^2\mathrm{d}x^2 + [l_2(t)]^2\mathrm{d}y^2 + [l_3(t)]^2\mathrm{d}z^2, \tag{1}$$

where $l_i = l_i(t)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, are the scale factors in each spatial direction. When $t = \text{const.}$, the 3-volume of these hypersurfaces suggests the definition of a mean scale factor provided by the geometric mean of the l_i's:

$$a(t) \doteq \sqrt[3]{l_1\, l_2\, l_3}. \tag{2}$$

From this, we compute the difference between the expansion rate in the x^i-direction and the mean expansion rate:

$$\sigma_i \doteq \frac{\dot{l}_i}{l_i} - \frac{\dot{a}}{a}, \tag{3}$$

where the dot means time derivative, as $\dot{X} \doteq \mathrm{d}X/\mathrm{d}t$. These σ_i's measure how the scale factor along each axis deviates from its mean value. Throughout the text we shall refer to $\dot{a}/a$ as the Hubble expansion rate (or the Hubble parameter) in analogy to the isotropic Friedmann models, since it is the mean value of the expansion rates in different spatial directions, that is

$$H \doteq \frac{\dot{a}}{a} = \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{\dot{l}_1}{l_1} + \frac{\dot{l}_2}{l_2} + \frac{\dot{l}_3}{l_3}\right). \tag{4}$$

We can then cast the line element in terms of a and σ_i, as

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = -\mathrm{d}t^2 + a^2(t)\left[\mathrm{e}^{2\int\sigma_1(t)\mathrm{d}t}\mathrm{d}x^2 + \mathrm{e}^{2\int\sigma_2(t)\mathrm{d}t}\mathrm{d}y^2 + \mathrm{e}^{2\int\sigma_3(t)\mathrm{d}t}\mathrm{d}z^2\right]. \tag{5}$$

From equations (3) and (4), it follows that the σ_i's must satisfy the constraint

$$\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3 = 0. \tag{6}$$

For a time-like vector field of co-moving observers (namely, $V^\mu = \delta^\mu_0$), the σ_i's coincide with the eigenvalues of the corresponding symmetric and traceless shear tensor σ^μ_ν for the space-like eigenvectors.

The standard deviation associated to the Hubble parameter is a measure of the difference between the Bianchi-I model and its plane and isotropic counterpart ($\sigma_i = 0$). The variable standing for this geometrical anisotropy is expressed as

$$\sigma \doteq \sqrt{\sum_i \left(\frac{\dot{l}_i}{l_i} - H\right)^2} = \sqrt{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 + \sigma_3^2}. \tag{7}$$



Figure 1. Colors online. Comparative analysis of the principal directions of the metric anisotropies (dotted-blue) and those of the anisotropic pressure (solid-red). Each component of the metric anisotropy σ_i is separated by an angle $2\pi/3$, see equations (8). The same happens with the components π_i of the anisotropic pressure [see equations (10)].

With the help of a time dependent angular coordinate $\alpha = \alpha(t)$, see figure 1, we can parameterize the plane described by equation (6) as

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_1 &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\,\sigma \sin\alpha\,, \\ \sigma_2 &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\,\sigma \sin\left(\alpha + \frac{2\pi}{3}\right), \\ \sigma_3 &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\,\sigma \sin\left(\alpha + \frac{4\pi}{3}\right). \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

The homogeneity of the Bianchi type-I geometry described above demands the matter content to be independent of the spatial coordinates. In particular, it is assumed to be given by an energy-momentum tensor without heat flow

$$T^{\mu}{}_{\nu} = \mathrm{diag}(-\rho, \mathrm{p} + \pi_1, \mathrm{p} + \pi_2, \mathrm{p} + \pi_3),$$

with $\rho = \rho(t)$ as the energy density, $p = p(t)$ as the total isotropic pressure‡ and $\pi_i = \pi_i(t)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, as the eigenvalues of the symmetric and traceless anisotropic pressure tensor $\pi_{\mu\nu}$ for the space-like eigenvectors. Thus,

$$\pi_1 + \pi_2 + \pi_3 = 0. \tag{9}$$

We now have the standard deviation of the pressures in different directions as a measure of the magnitude of the anisotropy in the matter content

$$\Pi \doteq \sqrt{\pi_1^2 + \pi_2^2 + \pi_3^2},$$

‡ The total pressure p may include both the thermodynamic P and the bulk $\mathcal{P}$ pressures. However, as suggested by [16] for a particular case, we shall neglect any possible contribution from $\mathcal{P}$.

and a similar parametrization of the plane defined by equation (9) can be performed [see also figure 1]

$$\begin{cases} \pi_1 &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\,\Pi\,\sin(\alpha+\beta)\,, \\ \pi_2 &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\,\Pi\,\sin\left(\alpha+\beta+\frac{2\pi}{3}\right), \\ \pi_3 &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\,\Pi\,\sin\left(\alpha+\beta+\frac{4\pi}{3}\right), \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

where $\beta=\beta(t)$, which we name the *anisotropy phase*, is the angle between the Euclidean vectors $\vec{\Pi}=(\pi_1,\pi_2,\pi_3)$ and $\vec{\sigma}=(\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\sigma_3)$. Thus,

$$\cos\beta=\frac{\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{\Pi}}{\sigma\,\Pi}.$$

The anisotropy phase β measures the coupling between the geometric and the matter anisotropies.

The Einstein equations with a cosmological constant $G^{\mu}{}_{\nu}=T^{\mu}{}_{\nu}+\Lambda\delta^{\mu}{}_{\nu}$ lead, through the same procedure as in the FLRW case, to the following system of equations:

$$3H^2=\rho+\frac{\sigma^2}{2}+\Lambda, \tag{11}$$

$$\dot{\rho}+3H(\rho+p)+\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{\Pi}=0, \tag{12}$$

$$\dot{\sigma}_i+3H\sigma_i=\pi_i. \tag{13}$$

By using formulas (8) and (10), it is possible to rewrite the three implicit equations encoded in (13) in terms of two other ones:

$$\dot{\sigma}+3H\sigma=\Pi\cos\beta, \tag{14}$$

$$\sigma\dot{\alpha}=\Pi\sin\beta. \tag{15}$$

Equation (11) is a modified version of the Friedmann's isotropic one, where the difference is the geometric anisotropy term $\sigma^2/2$ contributing to the total energy density of the universe. The continuity equation is represented by equation (12). Note that, with this set of variables, we end up with first order differential equations only.

It should also be remarked that equations (11)–(13) correspond to an open system. As was previously mentioned, there are several ways to fix the arbitrariness present in these equations, which are usually performed by taking into account algebraic relations between the metric and the fluid components (see [9] and references therein). We shall use here the causal thermodynamics [10, 11, 12] to play this role in what concerns the dissipative terms, by adding differential equations instead of algebraic ones.

The dynamical equation for π_i is given in [10] as

$$\tau\dot{\pi}_i+\pi_i=-\xi\sigma_i, \tag{16}$$

where the thermodynamic parameters τ and ξ, phenomenologically dependent upon the thermodynamic quantities, are the relaxation time and the shear viscosity, respectively.

In terms of the standard deviations Π and σ, and the anisotropy phase β, we can rewrite equations (16), as

$$\tau\dot{\Pi} + \Pi = -\xi\sigma\cos\beta, \tag{17}$$

$$\dot{\beta} + \left(\frac{\Pi}{\sigma} - \frac{\xi}{\tau}\frac{\sigma}{\Pi}\right)\sin\beta = 0. \tag{18}$$

From the combination of equations (14), (17) and (18), we can find a first integral of the system of differential equations from the "norm of the vector product" between $\vec{\Pi}$ and $\vec{\sigma}$

$$\Pi\,\sigma\,\sin\beta = \frac{L_0\,\mathrm{e}^{-\int\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\tau(t)}}}{a^3}, \tag{19}$$

where L_0 is an integration constant. From equations (17) and (18) we also see that, when the system approaches a thermodynamical equilibrium configuration in an expanding universe scenario, we have that β goes to 0 or π if the mean values of the anisotropies are both nonzero.

There is another interesting relation between the anisotropies provided by the above equations which is

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(\Pi^2 + \frac{\xi}{\tau}\sigma^2\right) = -\frac{2}{\tau}\left(\Pi^2 + 3H\xi\sigma^2\right). \tag{20}$$

For $\xi, H \geq 0$ and $\tau > 0$, this means that the term within the parenthesis has an upper bound and, therefore, the anisotropies cannot grow indefinitely.

Differently from previous works on this subject, which consider a phenomenological relation between the thermodynamic parameters τ and ξ and the energy density ρ (see [13, 16] for instance), we will assume them as constants for simplicity, unless otherwise stated. This choice will change qualitatively the behaviour of the solutions as we shall see afterwards, since τ and ξ may contribute even if the energy density is small.

3. Exact solutions

In this section, we concentrate our efforts on the task of finding classes of exact solutions of physical interest for the system of equations (11)–(18).

3.1. Bianchi-I with perfect fluids revisited

As a matter of comparison with our approach through the standard deviation variables σ and Π, we first revisit the equations for Bianchi-I models with perfect fluids, see for instance [8] or section 14.4 of [23] for more details. Then, for τ and ξ both identically equal to zero, the aforementioned system of equations can be completely integrated, resulting in

$$\sigma = \sqrt{2}\,\frac{\Omega_A}{a^3}, \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = \alpha_0, \tag{21}$$

where Ω_A and α_0 are integration constants; from equation (11), we may interpret Ω_A as the density parameter associated to the contribution of the anisotropy to the total energy of the universe.

In the absence of matter, i.e. $p = \rho = 0$, the Kasner solutions should be recovered. Using equation (21), the integration of the modified Friedmann equation yields

$$a(t) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\Omega_A}{\Lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{6}} \sinh^{\frac{1}{3}}[\pm\sqrt{3\Lambda}(t-t_0)+C], & \text{for} \quad \Lambda > 0, \\ \pm[\sqrt{3\Omega_A}(t-t_0)+C]^{\frac{1}{3}}, & \text{for} \quad \Lambda = 0, \\ \left(\frac{\Omega_A}{|\Lambda|}\right)^{\frac{1}{6}} \sin^{\frac{1}{3}}[\pm\sqrt{3|\Lambda|}(t-t_0)+C], & \text{for} \quad \Lambda < 0, \end{cases} \tag{22}$$

where C is an integration constant. The positive sign holds for $H > 0$ and the negative sign for $H < 0$. Therefore, the directional scale factors are

$$l_i = l_{i,0}\left(\frac{a}{a_0}\right)^{3\zeta_i}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\Omega_A}+\sqrt{\Omega_A+\Lambda a^6}}{\sqrt{\Omega_A}+\sqrt{\Omega_A+\Lambda a_0^6}}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}-\zeta_i}, \tag{23}$$

where $l_{i,0}$ are constant, $a_0 \doteq a(t=t_0)$ and $\zeta_i \doteq 2\sin(\alpha_i)$ with $\alpha_i = \alpha_0 + 2(i-1)\pi/3$ and $i = 1, 2, 3$. It is direct to show that $\zeta_1 + \zeta_2 + \zeta_3 = 1$ and $\zeta_1^2 + \zeta_2^2 + \zeta_3^2 = 1$. When $\Lambda = 0$, this is indeed the Kasner solution (see reference [2]).

When the matter content is present and we take the pressure as a known function of a and ρ, i.e. $p = p(a, \rho)$, then we can use the continuity equation (12) to write the energy density in terms of the mean scale factor

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho}{\mathrm{d}a} = -\frac{3}{a}[\rho + p(a,\rho)]. \tag{24}$$

Thus, the modified Friedmann equation can be used to obtain an implicit expression for $a(t)$:

$$\sqrt{3}\int \frac{\mathrm{d}a}{a\sqrt{\Lambda + \frac{\Omega_A}{a^6} + \rho(a)}} = t. \tag{25}$$

From equation (8), we compute the integral of the σ_i's as follows

$$\int \sigma_i \,\mathrm{d}t = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\sin(\alpha_i)\int \sigma \mathrm{d}t = 2\sin(\alpha_i)\int \frac{\mathrm{d}a}{a\sqrt{1+\bar{\Lambda}a^6+\Upsilon(a^3)}}, \tag{26}$$

where $\bar{\Lambda} \doteq \Lambda/\Omega_A$ and $\Upsilon(u) \doteq u^2\rho(u^{\frac{1}{3}})/\Omega_A$. Therefore, the line element becomes in this case

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = -\left[\frac{3a^4}{\Omega_A + (\Lambda+\rho)a^6}\right]\mathrm{d}a^2 + a^2\sum_{i=1}^{3} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{4}{3}\sin(\alpha_i)G(a^3)}\,(\mathrm{d}x^i)^2, \tag{27}$$

where $G(u) = \int\left[u\sqrt{1+\bar{\Lambda}u^2+\Upsilon(u)}\right]^{-1}\mathrm{d}u$.

3.2. de Sitter/anti-de Sitter scale-factor

Let us suppose the mean scale-factor behaves as $a(t) = a_o \mathrm{e}^{Ht}$ with H constant, recalling that the thermodynamic parameters are constants as well. We also make use of two pairs of new variables: $x_i = \mathrm{e}^{t/\tau}\pi_i$, and $y_i = a^3\sigma_i$ with $i = 1, 2$. It then follows that the dynamical equations (13) and (16) are given in the form

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_i = -(\xi/\tau)\,\mathrm{e}^{t/\tau} a^{-3} y_i, \\ \dot{y}_i = \mathrm{e}^{-t/\tau} a^3 x_i. \end{cases} \tag{28}$$

By differentiating y_i twice, the above dynamics immediately yields the equations

$$\ddot{y}_i + \left(\frac{1}{\tau} - 3H\right)\dot{y}_i + \frac{\xi}{\tau}\, y_i = 0 \tag{29}$$

of two identical decoupled damped oscillators, where the "free frequency" is $\sqrt{\xi/\tau}$ and the "friction parameter" is $(1/2)(1/\tau - 3H)$. These equations are therefore solved according with the sign of $\mu = (1/4)(1/\tau - 3H)^2 - \xi/\tau$. It then follows, for $\mu > 0$, that

$$\begin{cases} \pi_i &= \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(3H+\frac{1}{\tau}\right)t}\,\left[A_i\left(3H - \frac{1}{\tau} + 2\sqrt{\mu}\right)\mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{\mu}\,t} + B_i\left(3H - \frac{1}{\tau} - 2\sqrt{\mu}\right)\mathrm{e}^{-\sqrt{\mu}\,t}\right], \\ \sigma_i &= \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(3H+\frac{1}{\tau}\right)t}\,\left[A_i \mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{\mu}\,t} + B_i \mathrm{e}^{-\sqrt{\mu}\,t}\right], \end{cases} \tag{30}$$

while for $\mu < 0$, we have

$$\begin{cases} \pi_i &= \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(3H+\frac{1}{\tau}\right)t}\,\left[\left(3H - \frac{1}{\tau}\right)A_i\,\cos\,\sqrt{|\mu|}\,t + 2\sqrt{|\mu|}B_i\,\sin\,\sqrt{|\mu|}\,t\right], \\ \sigma_i &= \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(3H+\frac{1}{\tau}\right)t}\,\left[A_i\,\cos\,\sqrt{|\mu|}\,t + B_i\,\sin\,\sqrt{|\mu|}\,t\right], \end{cases} \tag{31}$$

and for $\mu = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \pi_i &= \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(3H+\frac{1}{\tau}\right)t}\,\left[B_i + \frac{1}{2}\left(3H - \frac{1}{\tau}\right)(A_i + B_i\,t)\right], \\ \sigma_i &= \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(3H+\frac{1}{\tau}\right)t}\,\left[A_i + B_i\,t\right]. \end{cases} \tag{32}$$

By using the definition of y_i, the obtention of the energy density from the Friedman's equation (11) is direct

$$\rho = 3H^2 - \Lambda - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}. \tag{33}$$

The physical requirement of non-negativity of the energy density $\rho \geq 0$ then imposes a bounded behaviour for the geometrical anisotropy magnitude σ, which in turn impose limitations on the time domains for the solutions given from equations (30)–(32): if $3H + 1/\tau > 0$, then $t \geq \bar{t}$, where $\bar{t}$ is the time for which $\rho = 0$ from equation (33); if $3H + 1/\tau < 0$, then $t \leq \bar{t}$. From inspection of these solutions, we obtain that Π is similarly bounded.

The mean pressure p can be calculated from equation (33) with the help of the conservation equation (12) as

$$p = \rho + 2\Lambda - 6H^2 = \Lambda - 3H^2 - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}. \tag{34}$$

It should be remarked that, for $\sigma = 0$, we recover the isotropic de Sitter/anti-De Sitter cases with $\Lambda = 3H^2$.

From equation (31), one should notice that the particular case $3H\tau = -1$ with $\tau\xi > 1$ leads to a pure oscillatory behaviour of the anisotropies σ_i and π_i with frequency $\omega = \sqrt{\xi/\tau}$. Therefore, Bianchi-I models filled in with anisotropic fluids allow for solutions represented by periodic orbits, as already noticed by van den Hoogen and Coley in [16].

3.3. Phenomenological thermodynamic parameters

The cases described above present an accelerated scenario which may possibly represent only part of the time evolution of the universe. Let us comment on how one could produce a more realistic situation from such exact solutions by supposing that the thermodynamic parameters τ and ξ have a time dependence, since the thermodynamic quantities they originally depend upon are also functions of time. We assume that these parameters satisfy the relation

$$\dot{\tau} = (3H + \xi)\tau, \tag{35}$$

where the mean scale-factor a is considered to be a known function of time (and thus, H is also known). We introduce variables (x_i, y_i) which resembles the ones in the previous section:

$$\begin{cases} x_i = \mathrm{e}^{\int [1/\tau(t)]\mathrm{d}t}\pi_i, \\ y_i = a^3\sigma_i. \end{cases}$$

The dynamics, in terms of these variables, takes the form

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_i = -\frac{\xi}{\tau}\,\mathrm{e}^{\int \mathrm{d}t/\tau} a^{-3} y_i, \\ \dot{y}_i = \mathrm{e}^{-\int \mathrm{d}t/\tau} a^3 x_i. \end{cases}$$

By differentiating y_i twice, we again obtain that each y_i satisfies equation (29), but now the coefficients are not constant anymore. In order to solve this equation, we restate it in terms of new variables $w_i = f\, y_i$, where the proportionality factor $f = a^{3/2}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}\int \mathrm{d}t/\tau}$ was chosen such that the coefficient of the term $\dot{w}_i$ is zero. The resulting equation for w_i takes the form $\ddot{w}_i = [\ddot{f} - (\xi/\tau)f]w_i$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{w}_i &= \frac{1}{4}\left[3(2\dot{H} + 3H^2) + \frac{1 + 2\dot{\tau}}{\tau^2} - \frac{4\xi + 6H}{\tau}\right] w_i \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\left[3(2\dot{H} + 3H^2) + \frac{1 - 2\dot{\tau}}{\tau^2} + \frac{6H}{\tau}\right] w_i, \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

where the last equality follows from the choice $\xi = \dot{\tau}/\tau - 3H$ in equation (35). It is thus apparent that one of the solutions of equation (36) is $w^{(1)} = a^{3/2}\,\mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{2}\int \mathrm{d}t/\tau}$. The other solution is obtained from this one as $w^{(2)} = u(t)\, w^{(1)}$, from which $v = \dot{u}$ satisfies a first order differential equation. We then obtain the general solutions of equation (36) as

$$w_i = A_i\, a^{3/2}\,\mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{2}\int \mathrm{d}t/\tau} + B_i \int \mathrm{d}t\, a^{-3}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\int \mathrm{d}t/\tau}, \tag{37}$$

where A_i, B_i are constants, for $i = 1, 2$. The mean pressure p is given in this case as

$$p = \rho + 2\Lambda - 2H^2 - \frac{2}{3}\dot{H}, \tag{38}$$

and ρ is obtained in a similar way as in the previous subsection 3.2.

For the particular $B_i = 0$ case, it follows that

$$\sigma_i = A_i\, a^{-3} \mathrm{e}^{\int \mathrm{d}t/\tau} = \tau\, \pi_i. \tag{39}$$

Note that σ and Π are linearly related, and their ratio is an arbitrary function of time. The viscoelastic proposal $\xi = \kappa_1 \rho \tau$ with κ_1 constant [13] can be regarded as a solution of the type of equation (39) with $\beta = \pi/2$, $3H\tau(2+\gamma) = 2$ and

$$\dot{\xi} = \xi^2 - \frac{2\kappa_1(\gamma - 1)}{2+\gamma}\,\rho, \tag{40}$$

where $p/\rho + 1 = \gamma$ (which is not being assumed to be constant), while

$$\sqrt{\kappa_1 \rho}\, \sigma^2 a^3 \mathrm{e}^{\frac{3}{2}\int (2+\gamma) H\, \mathrm{d}t} = L_0. \tag{41}$$

In principle, τ could be chosen such that the solutions presented above could be important in, at least, two distinct periods of the universe history: the inflationary era and the current accelerating expanding phase. The details are left for a forthcoming paper.

3.4. Cosmological constant from a residual anisotropy

Another interesting solution of equations (11)–(18) can be obtained if we consider the anisotropic pressure standard deviation proportional to the metric anisotropy one, i.e., $\Pi = k_0 \sigma$, but now with a constant k_0. The angular equations (15) and (18) can be completely integrated, yielding

$$\tan\frac{\beta}{2} = A_o \mathrm{e}^{-k_1(t-t_o)}, \tag{42}$$

$$\alpha = \alpha_o - \frac{k_0}{k_1}(\beta - \beta_o), \tag{43}$$

where $A_o, t_o, \alpha_o, \beta_o$ are integration constants and $k_1 \doteq k_0 - \frac{\xi}{\tau}(k_0)^{-1}$. Equations (14) and (19) then give

$$\sigma = \frac{\sigma_o}{a^3} \mathrm{e}^{k_0 \int_{t_o}^{t} \cos\beta \mathrm{d}t}, \tag{44}$$

$$a(t) = \mathrm{e}^{k_2(t-t_o)} \left(\frac{1 + A_o^2 \mathrm{e}^{-2k_1(t-t_o)}}{1 + A_o^2} \right)^{k_3}, \tag{45}$$

where σ_o is an integration constant, $k_2 \doteq \frac{1}{3}(2k_0 - k_1 + \frac{1}{\tau})$ and $k_3 \doteq \frac{1}{3}(\frac{2k_0}{k_1} - 1)$. The remarkable result from this solution is the Hubble rate. From equation (45), the ratio $\dot{a}/a$ can be written down as

$$H = k_2 - \frac{2A_o^2\, k_1\, k_3}{A_o^2 + \mathrm{e}^{2k_1(t-t_o)}} = \frac{1}{3\tau} + \frac{1}{3k_0}\left(k_0^2 + \frac{\xi}{\tau}\right) \frac{\mathrm{e}^{2k_1(t-t_o)} - A_o^2}{\mathrm{e}^{2k_1(t-t_o)} + A_o^2}. \tag{46}$$

If $k_1(t-t_o) \gg 1$, we see that H tends to a non-zero constant, which depends only on the thermodynamic parameters of the fluid, thus mimicking the cosmological constant role in the Friedmann equation. Therefore, the apparent behaviour of a cosmic acceleration of our Universe might be the relics of an anisotropic fluid in the past. From the combination of the equations (44)–(46), one can easily read the energy density from the modified Friedmann equation (11), and thus, the continuity equation (12) determines the mean pressure.

4. Outlines of the qualitative analysis for barotropic fluids

Qualitative analysis of Bianchi-I systems complemented with the causal thermodynamics equations have been considered at least from the early 80's, with the work of Belinskii, Nikomarov and Khalatnikov [13]. There we have the relations $p = (\gamma-1)\rho$, $\xi = a_0\rho^b$ and $\tau = a_1\rho^{b-1}$, with γ, a_0, a_1, b constants, and an analysis of the system of equations (11)–(18) in the variables $X = -\sigma\pi\cos\beta$ and $Y = \pi^2$ were made, with a particular attention to the limit $H, \rho \to +\infty$ and $\ell_i \to 0$, with $i = 1, 2, 3$ (the Big Bang singularity).

As an example of further investigations on the subject, van den Hoogen and Coley [16] analysed such system as a residual case of Bianchi-V spacetimes. In our representation, this is equivalent to set $\alpha = 0 = \beta$. With the help of the algebraic relations $p = (\gamma - 1)\rho$, $b_2 H\xi = 2a_2\rho$ and $2b_2\tau H = 1$, with γ, a_2, b_2 constants, it is then straightforward to obtain the system (11)–(18) as a planar one, which is given by equations (3.29a) and (3.29b) in [16]. In terms of the dimensionless variables $x = \rho/3H^2$, $\sqrt{3}\Sigma = \sqrt{2}\sigma/H$ and $z = \pi/(6\sqrt{6}\,H^2)$, then the modified Friedmann equation (11) reads $4 - 4x - \Sigma^2 = 0$. This model has a remarkable periodic orbit for positive and small values of the parameter $B_8 = 4b_2 - 3(3\gamma - 2)$.

In order to have a deeper understanding of the system of equations developed in section 2, we shall analyse its qualitative behaviour for the special class of barotropic fluids with a linear equation of state. But instead of considering the thermodynamic parameters as satisfying phenomenological relations with the dynamical variables, we shall take ξ and τ as constants, with $\tau > 0$. This will allow us to determine the eigenvalue problem for the linearised system, the outcome of which can be compared with the asymptotic behaviour of the exact solutions previously discussed.

In terms of the standard deviation variables σ and Π, the angles α and β, the expansion coefficient $\theta \doteq 3H$ and their respective equations of motion, we can define the following dynamical system§ in $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{T}^2$:

$$\dot{\theta} = -\frac{1}{2}\left[\theta^2 + \frac{3}{2}\sigma^2 + 3(p - \Lambda)\right], \tag{47a}$$

$$\dot{\sigma} = -\theta\sigma + \Pi\cos\beta, \tag{47b}$$

$$\dot{\Pi} = -\frac{1}{\tau}\Pi - \frac{\xi}{\tau}\sigma\cos\beta, \tag{47c}$$

§ Although the dynamical system is defined in $\mathcal{M}$, the physically meaningful points $X \in \mathcal{M}$ are those which belong to the submanifold $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2_+ \times \mathbb{T}^2$, since $\sigma \geq 0$ and $\Pi \geq 0$.

where the total pressure p is assumed to be a given function of θ and σ, and

$$\dot{\beta} = -\left(\frac{\Pi}{\sigma} - \frac{\xi}{\tau}\frac{\sigma}{\Pi}\right)\sin\beta, \tag{48a}$$

$$\dot{\alpha} = \frac{\Pi}{\sigma}\sin\beta. \tag{48b}$$

Note that equation (47a) is obtained from the substitution of the modified Friedmann equation (11) in the Raychaudhuri equation. Finally, one can see that α does not appear on the right-hand side of any equation above; therefore, equation (48b) can be solved by quadrature once σ, Π, β are known.

The equilibrium points $X_o \in \mathcal{M}$ are obtained by setting the right-hand side of equations (47a)–(48b) equal to zero, which, for $\sigma_o \neq 0$, leads to

$$p_o = \Lambda - \frac{\xi^2}{3} - \frac{\sigma_o^2}{2}, \quad \theta_o = -\xi, \quad \Pi_o = -\xi\,\sigma_o\cos\beta_o, \quad \text{and} \quad \sin\beta_o = 0, \tag{49}$$

with $p_o \doteq p(\theta,\sigma)|_{\theta=-\xi,\,\sigma=\sigma_o}$. From this, we see that, in an expanding universe scenario ($\theta \geq 0$) without cosmological constant ($\Lambda = 0$), the equilibrium points are possible only for fluids with negative pressure (in agreement with reference [13]) and $\xi\cos\beta_o \leq 0$.

The case $\sigma(t) \to 0$ implies $\Pi(t) \to 0$ as expected, despite the fact that equations (47b)–(48b) are not well defined for $\sigma(t) = 0$ or $\Pi(t) = 0$. Equations (49) suggest that $\sigma_o = 0$ implies $\Pi_o = 0$. Let us illustrate the behaviour of the system in the neighbours of $\sigma_o = 0$ and $\Pi_o = 0$. For the sake of simplicity, we also set $\xi = 0$ and $H = H_0$ with H_0 a positive constant. A simple integration of equations (13) and (16) in this case yields, as we set $\pi_i(t_o) = \pi_{io}$, $\sigma(t_o) = \sigma_{io}$ and $\kappa_o = 3H_0 - \frac{1}{\tau}$,

$$\frac{\pi_i}{\sigma_i} = \begin{cases} \frac{\kappa_o\pi_{io}}{\pi_{io}+(\kappa_o\,\sigma_{io}-\pi_{io})\mathrm{e}^{-\kappa_o(t-t_o)}}, & \text{if} \quad \kappa_o \neq 0, \\ \frac{\pi_{io}}{\sigma_{io}+\pi_{io}(t-t_o)}, & \text{if} \quad \kappa_o = 0. \end{cases} \tag{50}$$

Thus, for $\sigma_{io} = 0$ and $\pi_{io} \neq 0$, the ratio π_i/σ_i is either a constant $3H_0 - 1/\tau$ or decreases as $(t - t_o)^{-1}$; for $\sigma_{io} \neq 0$ and $\pi_{io} \neq 0$, the ratio approaches zero with a exponential behaviour for $3H_0\tau < 1$, or with a power law behaviour for $3H_0\tau = 1$, or else approaches a non zero finite constant for $3H_0\tau > 1$. The solution $\sigma_o = 0$ and $\pi_o = 0$ is then a global attractor, which can be approached by either finite Π/σ and σ/Π for $3H_0\tau > 1$ (and $3H_0\tau < 1$ with $\sigma_{io} = 0$) or else by $\Pi \ll \sigma$ for $3H_0\tau \leq 1$ (with $\sigma_{io} \neq 0$). In fact, it is not necessary to set $H(t) = H_0$ to obtain the qualitative global attractor behaviour. It is generally achieved by setting, in an expanding universe scenario, the energy condition $\rho + p \geq -\sigma^2$. This guarantees $\dot{H} \leq 0$ from equations (11) and (47a), meaning that $H(t)$ is assintotically approximated by its lower bound H_0.

The linearisation of equation (48a) in the vicinities of the equilibrium points with $\beta = \beta_o$ yields

$$\dot{\beta} = -\cos\beta_o(\tau^{-1} - \xi)\,(\beta - \beta_o). \tag{51}$$

For $\xi < \tau^{-1}$, then $\beta \approx 0$ fastly approach $\beta_o = 0$ while $\beta \approx \pi$ fastly get away from $\beta_o = \pi$ (such behaviour is reversed for $\xi > \tau^{-1}$). This allows us to approximate the behaviour of the solutions in the vicinities of the equilibrium points by those with

$\beta = \beta_o$ and with the help of equations (47a)–(47c) only. This approximation has also the advantage of rendering the dynamical system autonomous. Below we discuss the case $\beta_o = 0$.

The linear behaviour of equations (47a)-(47c) applied at the condition (49) is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\theta} \\ \dot{\sigma} \\ \dot{\Pi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \xi - \frac{3}{2}p_\theta & -\frac{3}{2}\sigma_o - \frac{3}{2}p_\sigma & 0 \\ -\sigma_o & \xi & 1 \\ 0 & -\frac{\xi}{\tau} & -\frac{1}{\tau} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \theta - \theta_o \\ \sigma - \sigma_o \\ \Pi - \Pi_o \end{pmatrix}, \tag{52}$$

where $p_\sigma \doteq \partial p/\partial\sigma$ and $p_\theta \doteq \partial p/\partial\theta$ with the derivatives evaluated at the equilibrium points X_o. The characteristic polynomial for this system is

$$P(\lambda) \doteq \lambda^3 + \left(\tfrac{3}{2}\,p_\theta - 2\,\xi + \tfrac{1}{\tau}\right)\lambda^2 - \tfrac{1}{2}\left(3\,\sigma_o^2 + 3\sigma_o\,p_\sigma - 2\xi^2 + 3\xi p_\theta + \tfrac{2\xi}{\tau} - \tfrac{3p_\theta}{\tau}\right)\lambda + \\ -\tfrac{3}{2}\tfrac{(\sigma_o + p_\sigma)\sigma_o}{\tau} = 0. \tag{53}$$

In general, the roots of $P(\lambda)$ are rather involved and do not give any physical insight about their properties. However, there are two special cases which we can analyse:

(i) $\sigma_o \approx 0$ (nearly isotropic equilibrium metric), the roots of $P(\lambda)$ are close to

$$\lambda_1 = 0, \quad \lambda_2 = \xi - \frac{3}{2}p_\theta, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_3 = \xi - \frac{1}{\tau}. \tag{54}$$

In virtue of the null eigenvalue, we cannot apply the Hartman-Grobman theorem and, therefore, the linear regime informs nothing about the solutions in the neighbors of such equilibria. Notwithstanding, if $p_\theta > 2\xi/3$ and $\tau^{-1} > \xi$ at the equilibrium, then $\lambda_2 < 0$ and $\lambda_3 < 0$. Thus, the constant term in the characteristic polynomial determines the sign of λ_1 for σ_o slightly greater than zero. Therefore, if $p_\sigma > -\sigma_o$ (respectively $p_\sigma < -\sigma_o$), then $\lambda_1 > 0$ ($\lambda_1 < 0$) and the equilibria are saddle points (respectively attractors) by continuity of the parameters. Furthermore, for $\xi \neq 0$, equations (49) indicate that these equilibrium points behave as an effective cosmological constant since θ approaches a non-zero value while the anisotropies are washed out, leading to an isotropic configuration for the universe. The value of this effective cosmological constant is determined by the shear viscosity of the fluid. Note that equations (54) hold as well for $\sigma_o = -p_\sigma$.

(ii) For $\theta_o = 0$, the roots of $P(\lambda)$ are

$$\lambda_0 = -\frac{1}{\tau}, \quad \lambda_\pm = -\frac{3}{4}p_\theta\left[1 \pm \sqrt{1 + 24\,\sigma_o\,\frac{p_\sigma + \sigma_o}{(p_\theta)^2}}\right]. \tag{55}$$

Note that two eigenvalues do not depend on the thermodynamical parameters τ and ξ. In this situation, X_0 may have all possibilities depending on the sign of the discriminant $\Delta \doteq (p_\theta)^2 + 24\,\sigma_o(p_\sigma + \sigma_o)$ and that of p_θ. Roughly speaking, if $\Delta/(p_\theta)^2 \leq 1$ and $p_\theta > 0$ ($p_\theta < 0$), then $\lambda_\pm$ have negative (positive) real parts which means that the equilibria are attractors (saddle points); if $\Delta/(p_\theta)^2 > 1$ then the equilibria are necessarily saddle points for any $p_\theta \neq 0$. Therefore, for a particular choice of the anisotropies, the Friedmann solutions with the asymptotic regime $\theta \to 0$ when $t \to +\infty$ belong to a subset of equilibrium points of the anisotropic case.

4.1. Barotropic fluids with linear equation of state

Barotropic fluids are those whose pressure is a function of the energy density solely. Motivated by the current cosmological scenario, we assume the linear equation of state of a perfect fluid, namely $p = (\gamma - 1)\rho$ with $\gamma \in [0, 2]$ as a constant, allowing room for mechanical instabilities (for $0 \leq \gamma < 1$). Elimination of the energy density ρ from the modified Friedmann equation (11) in equation (47*a*) yields

$$\dot{\theta} = -\frac{\gamma}{2}\,\theta^2 - \frac{3(2-\gamma)}{4}\,\sigma^2 + \frac{3\gamma}{2}\,\Lambda. \tag{56}$$

It is then easy to see that the equilibrium conditions require

$$\sigma_o^2 = \frac{2\gamma(3\Lambda - \xi^2)}{3(2-\gamma)}. \tag{57}$$

The character of the eigenvalues of the system in the $\Lambda \neq 0$ case is rather complicate. When $\Lambda = 0$, it simplifies considerably and only the origin $X_0 = 0$ corresponds to an equilibrium point if $\xi = 0$ and $0 < \gamma < 2$. The eigenvalues can be obtained from equation (54) as $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 = 0$ and $\lambda_3 = -\frac{1}{\tau}$, and their corresponding eigenvectors are

$$v_1 = (1, 0, 0), \quad v_2 = (0, 1, 0), \quad v_3 = (0, -\tau, 1). \tag{58}$$

When $\gamma = 0$, the set of equilibrium points coincides with the θ-axis and we are in a particular situation of case (*i*). For $\gamma = 2$, we obtain a set of equilibrium points given by the σ-axis and now the situation is a special case of (*ii*).

4.2. Fixed points at infinity

We still assume here the linear equation of state previously used, and set the cosmological constant to zero for simplicity, in order to describe the asymptotic behaviour of the system (47*a*)–(48*b*) through the Poincaré compactification procedure [19]. We will see that the asymptotic fixed points are sensitive to the critical values of the equation of state, namely $\gamma = 0$ (cosmological constant) and $\gamma = 2$ (stiff matter), for which the effective sound speed coincides in magnitude with the speed of light. Therefore, we restrict our analysis to the cases $0 \leq \gamma \leq 2$, as well as, for reasons previously mentioned, to the set of equations (47*a*)–(47*c*) with $\beta = 0$ and all the three variables positive.

First of all, let us denote the points by $X = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \doteq (\theta, \sigma, \Pi) \in \mathbb{R}^3$. In practical terms, the compactification of $\mathbb{R}^3$ can be done by choosing 3 local maps $\phi_k : \mathbb{R}^3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ with $k = 1, 2, 3$ defined as

$$\phi_k(X) = \phi_k(x_1, x_2, x_3) \doteq \left(\frac{x_m}{x_k}, \frac{x_n}{x_k}, \frac{1}{x_k}\right), \tag{59}$$

for $m < n$, with $m, n \neq k$, where $m, n, k = 1, 2, 3$. For each chart, we shall denote $(z_1, z_2, z_3) \doteq \phi_k(x_1, x_2, x_3)$, such that the asymptotic behaviour of the dynamical system (47*a*)–(47*c*) is provided by the evolution of the variables z_i's and the fixed points at infinity, if they exist, lie always on the plane $z_3 = 0$. It should be noticed that the dynamics coming from each compactification procedure is not quantitative equivalent to the original one due to a necessary time reparametrization. Each map ϕ_k determines

a corresponding time stamp $\tilde{t}$ which is related to the time coordinate t of the spacetime through $\tilde{t} = \int \mathrm{d}t/z_3(t)$. Thus, the prime here means derivative with respect to these different times $\tilde{t}$.

The asymptotic behaviour of equations (47*a*)-(47*c*) according to ϕ_1 is driven by

$$z_1' = -\frac{(2-\gamma)}{2} z_1 + \frac{3(2-\gamma)}{4} z_1^3 + z_2 z_3, \tag{60a}$$

$$z_2' = \frac{\gamma}{2} z_2 + \frac{3(2-\gamma)}{4} z_1^2 z_2 - \frac{z_2 z_3}{\tau} - \frac{\xi}{\tau} z_1 z_3, \tag{60b}$$

$$z_3' = \frac{\gamma}{2} z_3 + \frac{3(2-\gamma)}{4} z_1^2 z_3, \tag{60c}$$

where $(z_1, z_2, z_3) \doteq (\sigma/\theta, \Pi/\theta, 1/\theta)$. Note that the three axes, besides being invariant under the above dynamics, contain all the equilibrium points. The equilibria which are relevant for the analysis of fixed points at infinity are divided in four disjoint sets:

(i) $(EP_{\phi_1})_1 \doteq \{(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \,|\, z_1 = 0,\, \forall z_2 \neq 0\, z_3 = 0,\ \text{with } \gamma = 0\}$. This is the case of a fluid dominated by a cosmological constant. Each of such equilibria represents an asymptotic limit in a dynamics with θ diverging faster than σ for arbitrary nonzero values of the ratio Π/θ.

(ii) $(EP_{\phi_1})_2 \doteq \{(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \,|\, \forall z_1 \neq 0,\, z_2 = 0,\, z_3 = 0,\ \text{with } \gamma = 2\}$. This is the case of a stiff matter fluid. Each of such equilibria represents an asymptotic limit in a dynamics with θ diverging faster than Π for arbitrary nonzero values of the ratio σ/θ.

(iii) $(EP_{\phi_1})_3 \doteq \left\{(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \,|\, z_1 = \sqrt{2/3},\, z_2 = 0,\, z_3 = 0,\ \text{with } 0 \leq \gamma < 2\right\}$. This equilibrium point represents an asymptotic limit in a dynamics with θ going to infinity faster than Π, while keeping constant the relation $3\sigma^2 = 2\theta^2$. This situation corresponds to what is called viscoelastic energy in [13].

(iv) $(EP_{\phi_1})_4 \doteq \{(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \,|\, z_1 = 0,\, z_2 = 0,\, z_3 = 0,\ \text{with } 0 \leq \gamma \leq 2\}$. In this case, the equilibrium point represents an asymptotic limit in a dynamics with θ going to infinity faster than both Π and σ.

The linearisation of (60*a*)-(60*c*) furnishes that each set of equilibria leads to different eigenvalues, but the sub-space generated by the eigenvectors is the same, except for $(EP_{\phi_1})_4$. Namely, $(EP_{\phi_1})_1$ has a two-degenerate null eigenvalue associated with the eigenvector $v_0 = (0, 1, 0)$ and -1 with eigenvector $v_1 = (1, 0, 0)$. $(EP_{\phi_1})_2$ has a two-degenerate eigenvalue equal to 1 with eigenvector v_0 and another one equal to zero with eigenvector v_1. $(EP_{\phi_1})_3$ has a two-degenerate eigenvalue equal to 1 with eigenvector v_0 and another one equal to $2 - \gamma$ with eigenvector v_1. This equilibrium point is a source. $(EP_{\phi_1})_4$ has a two-degenerate eigenvalue equal to $\gamma/2$ with eigenvectors v_0 and $v_2 = (0, 0, 1)$ and another one equal to $-(2-\gamma)/2$ with eigenvector v_1. This equilibrium point is unstable and, for $0 < \gamma < 2$, it turns out to be a saddle point. Note that the plane $z_3 = 0$ is invariant under the action of the dynamics. Moreover, the solutions in the vicinities of this plane have $z_3'/z_3 > 0$, from which we conclude that all four

equilibrium point sets are unstable; in particular, the two degenerate cases $(EP_{\phi_1})_1$ and $(EP_{\phi_1})_2$ are unstable.

The Poincaré map ϕ_2 leads to the system

$$z_1' = -\frac{3}{4}(2-\gamma) + \frac{2-\gamma}{2}z_1^2 - z_1 z_2 z_3, \tag{61a}$$

$$z_2' = z_1 z_2 - z_2^2 z_3 - \frac{z_2 z_3}{\tau} - \frac{\xi z_3}{\tau}, \tag{61b}$$

$$z_3' = z_1 z_3 - z_2 z_3^2, \tag{61c}$$

with $(z_1, z_2, z_3) \doteq (\theta/\sigma, \Pi/\sigma, 1/\sigma)$. This system has four sets of relevant equilibrium points:

(i) $(EP_{\phi_2})_1 \doteq \{(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \,|\, z_1 = 0,\, \forall z_2 \neq 0,\, z_3 = 0,\ \text{with}\ \gamma = 2\}$. Each of such equilibria represents an asymptotic limit in a dynamics with σ diverging faster than θ for arbitrary nonzero values of the ratio Π/σ.

(ii) $(EP_{\phi_2})_2 \doteq \{(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \,|\, z_1 = 0,\, z_2 = 0,\, z_3 = 0,\ \text{with}\ \gamma = 2\}$. This equilibrium point represents an asymptotic limit in a dynamics with σ diverging faster than both θ and Π.

(iii) $(EP_{\phi_2})_3 \doteq \{(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \,|\, \forall z_1 \neq 0,\, z_2 = 0,\, z_3 = 0,\ \text{with}\ \gamma = 2\}$. This set is the same as $(EP_{\phi_1})_2$.

(iv) $(EP_{\phi_2})_4 \doteq \left\{(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \,|\, z_1 = \sqrt{3/2},\, z_2 = 0,\, z_3 = 0,\ \text{with}\ 0 \leq \gamma < 2\right\}$. This equilibrium point is the same as $(EP_{\phi_1})_3$

The linearisation of (61*a*)-(61*c*) around these sets furnishes that $(EP_{\phi_2})_1$ has a three-degenerate null eigenvalue associated with the two eigenvectors $v_0 = (0, 1, 0)$ and $v_2 = (z_2 + \xi + \tau z_2^2, 0, \tau z_2)$. $(EP_{\phi_2})_2$ has also a three-degenerate null eigenvalue, but with two eigenvectors v_0 and $v_1 = (1, 0, 0)$. $(EP_{\phi_2})_3$ has one null eigenvalue with eigenvector $v_1 = (1, 0, 0)$ and a two degenerate eigenvalue equal to z_1 with eigenvector given by v_0. $(EP_{\phi_2})_4$ has a two-degenerate eigenvalue equal to $\sqrt{3/2}$ associated with only one eigenvector v_0 and another eigenvalue given by $(2-\gamma)\sqrt{3/2}$ with eigenvector v_1. Thus, $(EP_{\phi_2})_4$ is a source. Again, the plane $z_3 = 0$ is invariant under the action of the dynamics, but now the sign of z_3' in the neighbours of this plane depends on the sign of $z_1 - z_2 z_3$. Thus, if $z_1 - z_2 z_3 > 0$, as it is certainly the case for some among the relevant points which are close to $(EP_{\phi_2})_1$, as well as those which are close to $(EP_{\phi_2})_2$, we have instabilities. In the case $\gamma = 2$, the plane $z_1 = 0$ is also invariant, and the solutions in the vicinities of this plane have $z_1'/z_1 < 0$, indicating that these equilibrium points have at least one attracting direction. Therefore, $(EP_{\phi_2})_1$ and $(EP_{\phi_2})_2$ are saddle points.

Finally, we get from ϕ_3 the system

$$z_1' = -\frac{\gamma}{2}z_1^2 - \frac{3(2-\gamma)}{4}z_2^2 + \frac{z_1 z_3}{\tau} + \frac{\xi z_1 z_2 z_3}{\tau}, \tag{62a}$$

$$z_2' = z_3 - z_1 z_2 + \frac{z_2 z_3}{\tau} + \frac{\xi z_2^2 z_3}{\tau}, \tag{62b}$$

$$z_3' = \frac{z_3^2}{\tau} + \frac{\xi z_2 z_3^2}{\tau}, \tag{62c}$$

for $(z_1, z_2, z_3) \doteq (\theta/\Pi, \sigma/\Pi, 1/\Pi)$. This system admits three sets of relevant equilibrium points:

(i) $(EP_{\phi_3})_1 \doteq \{(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \,|\, \forall z_1 \neq 0,\ z_2 = 0,\ z_3 = 0,\ \text{with } \gamma = 0\}$. This case is the same as $(EP_{\phi_1})_1$.

(ii) $(EP_{\phi_3})_2 \doteq \{(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \,|\, z_1 = 0,\ \forall z_2 \neq 0,\ z_3 = 0,\ \text{with } \gamma = 2\}$. This set is the same as $(EP_{\phi_2})_1$.

(iii) $(EP_{\phi_3})_3 \doteq \{(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \,|\, z_1 = 0,\ z_2 = 0,\ z_3 = 0 \text{ with } 0 \leq \gamma \leq 2\}$. In this case, the equilibrium point represents an asymptotic limit in a dynamics such that Π diverges faster than both θ and σ.

The linearisation of the above equations around the sets of equilibria furnishes that the set $(EP_{\phi_3})_1$ has a two-degenerate null eigenvalue associated with the eigenvector $v_1 = (1, 0, 0)$ and another one given by $-z_1$ with eigenvector $v_0 = (0, 1, 0)$. The sets $(EP_{\phi_3})_2$ and $(EP_{\phi_3})_3$ have three-degenerate null eigenvalues, but the former has the eigenvectors $v_2 = (z_2 + \tau + \xi z_2^2, 0, \tau z_2)$ and v_0 while the latter possesses the eigenvectors v_1 and v_0. Since $(EP_{\phi_3})_1$ and $(EP_{\phi_3})_2$ are equivalent to sets of equilibrium points previously discussed, it remains to analyse $(EP_{\phi_3})_3$ solely. Once again, the plane $z_3 = 0$ is invariant and, for $(1 + \xi z_2)/\tau > 0$ (as it is the case for the relevant points which are close to both this plane and $(EP_{\phi_3})_3$), we have $z_3'/z_3 > 0$. Therefore, $(EP_{\phi_3})_3$ is unstable.

As a result of the above three compactification procedures, we obtained all possible cases of divergence for any of the variables θ, σ, Π. All such cases are unstable, with behaviours similar to either source or saddle points. Therefore, it is expected that the solutions which dynamically evolve to such divergent configurations should be very special ones, in the sense that a small deviation from it yields a totally different global behaviour (even though this may not be true for *all* possible small deviations).

5. Concluding remarks

It is well-known that the homogeneity and isotropy of the Universe cannot be directly measured [20, 21] at all scales due to our condition as local observers. Nowadays, it has been suggested some gravitational tests of the *cosmological principle* (homogeneity plus isotropy) [20, 21, 22]. This special role played by homogeneity makes the investigation of Bianchi models particularly relevant. As we have seen, they favour the isotropic models for late times when the viscous fluids evolve according with the thermodynamic laws towards the isotropic equilibrium in most of the cases.

Furthermore, the exact solutions we found here show that Bianchi-I models allow for a relic cosmic acceleration in the future if the possible anisotropies of the past have a thermodynamical origin and their evolution is driven by the Israel-Stewart equations. A concrete cosmological model from Bianchi-I spacetimes is still under investigation [22].

Finally, we have tried here to study a simple case of barotropic fluids with the anisotropic pressure without any *ad hoc* restriction on the phase space. We reduced the original highly non-linear dynamical system in $\mathbb{R}^6$ to another one in terms of a second order degree polynomial in $\mathbb{R}^3$ by taking into account physical arguments solely. We have then seen that the dynamics in the vicinities of the singular points is very slow and degenerate, admitting several null eigenvalues, most of which are unstable. A comprehensive analysis of this situation is still an open question which hides interesting and non-trivial features, as suggested by the outcomes of the BKL conjecture.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Lucas R. dos Santos for valuable comments on the qualitative analysis. Part of this work was done when EB was financially supported by the PNPD/CAPES program (grant No. 1614969).

[1] L. Bianchi, *Sugli spazii a tre dimensioni che ammettono un gruppo continuo di movimenti*, *Soc. Ital. Sci. Mem. di Mat.* **11** 267 (1898); *On the Three-Dimensional Spaces Which Admit a Continuous Group of Motions*, *Gen. Relat. Grav.* **33** 2171 (2001) English version.

[2] V. A. Belinskii, I. M. Khalatnikov, E. M. Lifshttz, *Oscillatory Approach to a Singular Point in the Relativistic Cosmology*, *Adv. Phys.* **19** 525 (1970).

[3] V. A. Belinskii, I. M. Khalatnikov, E. M. Lifshttz, *A General Solution of the Einstein Equations with a Time Singularity*, *Adv. Phys.* **31** 639 (1982).

[4] A. D. Rendall, *The initial singularity in solutions of the Einstein-Vlasov system of Bianchi type I*, *J. Math. Phys.* **37** 438 (1996).

[5] V. G. LeBlanc, *Asymptotic States of Magnetic Bianchi I Cosmologies*, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **14** 2281 (1997).

[6] Y. Kitada and K. Maeda, *Cosmic no-hair theorem in homogeneous spacetimes I. Bianchi models*, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **10** 703 (1993).

[7] M. Novello and J. B. S. d'Olival, *Nonlinear Viscous Cosmology*, *Acta Phys. Pol. B* **11** 3 (1980).

[8] J. Wainwright, G. F. R. Ellis (Eds.), *Dynamical Systems in Cosmology*, Cambridge University Press, online version (2009).

[9] S. Calogero and J. M. Heinzle, *Dynamics of Bianchi Type I Solutions of the Einstein Equations with Anisotropic Matter*, *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **10** 225-274 (2009).

[10] W. Israel, *Nonstationary Irreversible Thermodynamics: A Causal Relativistic Theory*, *Ann. Phys.* **100** 310 (1976).

[11] W. Israel and J. M. Stewart, *Thermodynamics of Nonstationary and Transient Effects in a Relativistic Gas*, *Phys. Lett. A* **58** 213 (1976).

[12] W. Israel and J. M. Stewart, *Transient Relativistic Thermodynamics and Kinetic Theory*, *Annals Phys.* **118** 341 (1979).

[13] V. A. Belinskii, E. S. Nikomarov, I. M. Khalatnikov, *Investigation of the cosmological evolution of viscoelastic matter with causal thermodynamics*, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **50** 213 (1980).

[14] V. Romano and D. Pavón, *Causal dissipative Bianchi cosmology*, *Phys. Rev. D* **47** 1396 (1993).

[15] V. Romano and D. Pavón, *Dissipative effects in Bianchi type-III cosmologies*, *Phys. Rev. D* **50** 2572 (1994).

[16] R. J. van den Hoogen and A. A. Coley, *Qualitative analysis of causal anisotropic viscous-fluid cosmological models*, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **12** 2335 (1995).

[17] R. Maartens, *Dissipative cosmology*, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **12** 1455 (1995).

[18] S. W. Hawking and G. F. R. Ellis, *The Large Scale Structure of Space-Time*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, (1973).

[19] F. Dumortier, J. Llibre, J. C. Artés, *Qualitative Theory of Planar Differential Systems*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg (2006).
[20] R. Maartens, *Is The Universe Homogeneous?*, *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **369** 5115 (2011).
[21] T. Buchert, A. A. Coley, H. Kleinert, B. F. Roukema, D. L. Wiltshire, *Observational Challenges for the Standard FLRW Model*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **25** 1630007 (2016).
[22] D. Saadeh, S. M. Feeney, A. Pontzen, H. V. Peiris and J. D. McEwen, *How isotropic is the Universe?*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117** 131302 (2016).
[23] H. Stephani, D. Kramer, M. Maccallum, C. Hoenselaers and E. Herlt, *Exact Solutions to Einstein's Field Equations*, 2^{nd} ed. , Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, (2003).